arXiv:1202.5465v1 [math.DG] 24 Feb 2012

Sub-Laplacian eigenvalue bounds on CR manifolds

Gerasim Kokarev
Mathematisches Institut der Universität München
Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 München, Germany
Email: `Gerasim.Kokarev@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de`

Abstract

We prove upper bounds for sub-Laplacian eigenvalues independent of a pseudo-Hermitian structure on a CR manifold. These bounds are compatible with the Menikoff-Sjöstrand asymptotic law, and can be viewed as a CR version of Korevaar's bounds for Laplace eigenvalues of conformal metrics.

1. Introduction and statements of the results

Let M be an orientable compact strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold of dimension $(2n+1)$, possibly with boundary. For a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ on M whose Levi form is positive definite we denote by

$$0=\lambda_1(\theta)<\lambda_2(\theta)\leqslant\lambda_3(\theta)\leqslant\ldots\lambda_k(\theta)\leqslant\ldots$$

the Neumann eigenvalues of the corresponding sub-Laplacian $(-\Delta_b)$, see Sect. 2 for precise definitions. By $N_\theta(\lambda)$ we denote the *counting function*, that is the number of eigenvalues that are strictly less than a positive real number λ. A general result by Menikoff and Sjöstrand [11, 14], and also by Fefferman and Phong [3, 4], for hypoelliptic operators gives the following asymptotic formula for $N_\theta(\lambda)$ for any given θ:

$$N_\theta(\lambda)=\frac{(1+o(1))}{(2\pi)^{n+1}}C_\theta(M)\lambda^{n+1}\qquad\text{as}\quad\lambda\to+\infty,\tag{1.1}$$

for some constant $C_\theta(M)$. The purpose of this paper is to give a lower bound for $N_\theta(\lambda)$ that has a more explicit form; up to a volume factor, it does not depend on a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ. More precisely, we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a compact strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold, possibly with boundary. Then there exists a constant C, possibly depending on a CR structure, such that for any pseudo-Hermitian structure θ on M with positive definite Levi form the counting function $N_\theta(\lambda)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$N_\theta(\lambda)\geqslant C\cdot Vol_\theta(M)\lambda^{n+1},\qquad \textit{where}\quad \lambda\geqslant 0$$

and the volume is taken with respect to the form $\theta\wedge(d\theta)^n$.

As a consequence, this theorem yields the upper bounds for the sub-Laplacian eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\theta)$ independent of a pseudo-Hermitian structure on M.

Corollary 1.2. *Let M be a compact strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold, possibly with boundary. Then there exists a constant C_*, possibly depending on a CR structure, such that for any pseudo-Hermitian structure θ on M with positive definite Levi form the Neumann eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\theta)$ satisfy the inequalities*

$$\lambda_k(\theta)Vol_\theta(M)^{1/(n+1)} \leqslant C_* \cdot k^{1/(n+1)} \qquad \textit{for any} \quad k = 1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.2}$$

where the volume is taken with respect to the form $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$.

Proof. Recall that the eigenvalues are related to the counting function by the following formula

$$\lambda_k(\theta) = \inf\{\lambda \geqslant 0 : N_\theta(\lambda) \geqslant k\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Now for a given integer k let λ be the number

$$(C \cdot Vol_\theta(M))^{-1/(n+1)} \cdot k^{1/(n+1)},$$

where C is the constant from Theorem 1.1. Then by the estimate for the counting function, we obtain that $N_\theta(\lambda) \geqslant k$, and by relationship (1.3), we conclude that $\lambda_k(\theta) \leqslant \lambda$. Setting C_* to be $C^{-1/(n+1)}$, we arrive at the estimate in the statement. □

These eigenvalue bounds have the right asymptotic behaviour as $k \to +\infty$, and can be viewed as a CR version of the celebrated result by Korevaar in [9], which gives Laplace eigenvalue bounds for conformal Riemannian metrics. In fact, the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows a similar strategy: one of the ingredients is the result by Grigor'yan and Yau [6, 7] on decompositions of a metric space by annuli, built on the original method of Korevaar. The idea is to apply their decomposition theorem to the Carnot-Caratheodory metric on M. We show that this choice of a metric allows to get the right capacity estimates for the annuli in the decomposition, and thus, to obtain the bound for the counting function $N_\theta(\lambda)$ compatible with the asymptotic law (1.1).

The hypothesis above that M is compact is not very essential; in Sect. 3 we show that it can be replaced by the following two hypotheses on a background pseudo-Hermitian structure: a so-called volume doubling property together with a growth hypothesis on the volume of Carnot-Caratheodory balls. One of the most important examples of non-compact manifolds when these hypotheses are satisfied is the Heisenberg group $\mathbf{H}_n$, see Sect. 2. In particular, we have the following version of Theorem 1.1 for variable subdomains $\Omega \subset \mathbf{H}_n$. By $N_\theta(\Omega,\lambda)$ we denote below the number of Neumann eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\theta,\Omega)$ of a subdomain Ω that are not greater than λ.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a constant C such that for any compact subdomain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{H}_n$ and any pseudo-Hermitian structure θ on Ω with positive definite Levi form the counting function $N_\theta(\Omega,\lambda)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$N_\theta(\lambda,\Omega) \geqslant C \cdot Vol_\theta(\Omega)\lambda^{n+1}, \qquad \textit{where} \quad \lambda \geqslant 0$$

and the volume is taken with respect to the form $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$.

An argument similar to the one in the proof of Cor. 1.2 also yields the bounds for the Neumann eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\theta,\Omega)$ independent of a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ and a subdomain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{H}_n$. Both Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are consequences of a more general statement in Sect. 3. In particular, a statement similar to Theorem 1.3 holds for arbitrary subdomains $\Omega \subset \mathbf{H}_n$ and pseudo-Hermitian structures on Ω with finite volume. In fact, following the approach of Grigor'yan and Yau [6, 7] in the conformal geometry setting, one can give versions of these statements for rather arbitrary measures on CR manifolds.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Basic notions of CR geometry

We start with recalling a basic material on CR manifolds; for the details we refer to [2]. Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension $2n+1$; we always assume that it is connected and orientable. A *CR structure* of type $(n,1)$ on M is a complex sub-bundle $H^{1,0}$ of the complexified tangent bundle $TM\otimes\mathbf{C}$ that satisfies the following properties:

(i) its complex rank is equal to n, and $H^{1,0}_x\cap\overline{H^{1,0}_x}=\{0\}$ for any $x\in M$;

(ii) it is formally integrable: $[H^{1,0},H^{1,0}]\subset H^{1,0}$, that is the Lie bracket of any $H^{1,0}$-valued vector field is an $H^{1,0}$-valued vector field.

A manifold equipped with such a CR structure is referred to as a *CR manifold.*

The sum $\mathrm{Re}(H^{1,0}\oplus\overline{H^{1,0}})$ is called the *Levi distribution* on M. It is a real rank $2n$ sub-bundle of TM, denoted by H, which carries a natural complex structure

$$J_b : (v+\overline{v})\longmapsto i(v-\overline{v}).$$

A *pseudo-Hermitian structure* θ on M is a nowhere vanishing 1-form whose kernel coincides with H. On an orientable manifold M any two pseudo-Hermitian structures $\hat{\theta}$ and θ are related as $\hat{\theta}=\kappa\cdot\theta$, where κ is a nowhere vanishing function. The *Levi form* L_θ of a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ is defined as

$$L_\theta(v,\overline{w})=-id\theta(v,\overline{w}),\qquad \text{where }\ v,w\in H^{1,0}.$$

It is straightforward to see that the Levi forms of two pseudo-Hermitian structures $\hat{\theta}$ and θ are related as $L_{\hat{\theta}}=\kappa\cdot L_\theta$; this is an important relation for the sequel. A CR manifold is called *strictly pseudo-convex* if the Levi form L_θ is positive definite for some pseudo-Hermitian structure θ.

Let M be a pseudo-convex CR manifold equipped with a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ, and π_H be the projection $TM\to H$ along the 1-dimensional kernel of $d\theta$. Then the bilinear form

$$d\theta(\pi_H\cdot,J_b\pi_H\cdot)+(\theta\otimes\theta)(\cdot,\cdot)$$

is a Riemannian metric on M, referred to as the *Webster metric*. A straightforward calculation shows that its volume form coincides with $\theta\wedge(d\theta)^n$ up to a constant factor, which depends on n only, see [2].

Basic examples of CR manifolds include real hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$; for example, any odd-dimensional unit sphere $S^{2n+1}\subset\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ is a CR manifold, see [2]. Now we describe a more special example.

Example (The Heisenberg group). The space $\mathbf{C}^n\times\mathbf{R}$ viewed as a group with respect to the following operation

$$(z,t)\cdot(w,s)=(z+w,t+s+2\,\mathrm{Im}\langle z,w\rangle),$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a standard Hermitian product in $\mathbf{C}^n$, is called the Heisenberg group $\mathbf{H}_n$. Its CR structure is given by the span of the vectors

$$T_i=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}+i\overline{z}^i\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\qquad \text{where }\ i=1,\dots,n. \tag{2.1}$$

As a pseudo-Hermitian structure one can take the 1-form

$$\theta = dt + i\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(z^j d\overline{z^j} - \overline{z^j} dz^j\right);$$

its differential is $2i\sum dz^j \wedge d\overline{z^j}$. Thus, we see that the corresponding Levi form is positive definite, and $\mathbf{H}_n$ is a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold. For any $x = (z,t) \in \mathbf{H}_n$ the Heisenberg norm $|x|$ is defined as $(\|z\|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a Euclidean norm in $\mathbf{C}^n$. The Heisenberg norm has a nice property of being homogeneous under the dilatations

$$D_\varepsilon(z,t) = (\varepsilon z, \varepsilon^2 t), \qquad \text{where } (z,t) \in \mathbf{H}_n, \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0,$$

which are group and CR isomorphisms, see [2]. It is also closely related to the Carnot-Caratheodory distance on $\mathbf{H}_n$, which we recall below.

2.2. Carnot-Caratheodory distance on CR manifolds

Let M be a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold and H be its Levi distribution. It is a straightforward exercise to show that for any point $x \in M$ and any local frame $\{X_i\}$ of the sub-bundle H around x the commutators of the X_i's at x span the tangent space T_xM. This property is often referred to as the *Hörmander condition* for the distribution H.

An absolutely continuous path γ in M is called *horizontal* if it is tangent to H almost everywhere. For a pseudo-Hermitian structure on M whose Levi form is positive definite the *Carnot-Caratheodory distance* between the points x and y is defined as

$$d_\theta(x,y) = \inf\{length_\theta(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is a horizontal path joining } x \text{ and } y\},$$

where the length is taken with respect to the Webster metric. By the results of Caratheodory and Chow, see [13], the Hörmander condition implies that this metric is finite. Besides, it induces the same topology on M.

The following statement is a version of [13, Th. 1], see also [12]; it is essential for our method.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a pseudo-convex CR manifold and θ be a pseudo-Hermitian structure whose Levi form is positive definite. Then for every compact set $K \subset M$ there exist positive constants c_1, c_2, and ρ such that*

$$c_1 \cdot P_{2n+2}(r) \leqslant Vol_\theta(B(x,r)) \leqslant c_2 \cdot P_{2n+2}(r)$$

for an arbitrary Carnot-Caratheodory ball centred at $x \in K$ with $r \leqslant \rho$. Here $P_{2n+2}(r)$ is a polynomial of degree $(2n+2)$ whose coefficients are positive functions that depend on a CR structure only.

As a consequence, we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a compact strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold. Then the volume measure of any pseudo-Hermitian structure θ whose Levi form is positive definite satisfies the following properties:*

(i) the doubling property

$$Vol_\theta(B(x,2r)) \leqslant C_1 \cdot Vol_\theta(B(x,r))$$

for Carnot-Caratheodory balls with arbitrary x and $r > 0$, and some $C_1 > 0$;

(ii) the growth property

$$Vol_\theta(B(x,r)) \leqslant C_2 \cdot r^{2(n+1)}$$

for arbitrary Carnot-Caratheodory balls in M *and some constant* $C_2 > 0$.

Sketch of the proof. The first statement is equivalent to saying that the quotient

$$Vol_\theta(B(x,2r))/Vol_\theta(B(x,r))$$

is bounded in $r > 0$ and $x \in M$. By Prop. 2.1 it is so for any $r \leqslant \rho/2$ and $x \in M$. Now since M is compact, its volume is finite. By Prop. 2.1, we see that the denominator is bounded from below for $r > \rho/2$, and conclude that this quotient is bounded from above for any $r > 0$. The growth property (ii) follows in a similar fashion. ☐

Example (continued). The Levi distribution on the Heisenberg group $\mathbf{H}_n$ is the span of the vector fields

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + 2y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad Y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - 2x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

where $z^i = x^i + \sqrt{-1}y^i$ and $(z^1, \ldots, z^n, t) \in \mathbf{H}_n$. These vector fields are twice the real and (minus) imaginary parts of the left-invariant vector fields T_i's given by (2.1). Besides, the Levi form of the standard pseudo-Hermitian structure is also left-invariant, and so is the corresponding Carnot-Caratheodory metric $d_\theta(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathbf{H}_n$, see [2]. It is straightforward to show that the latter commutes with dilatations

$$d_\theta(D_\varepsilon x, D_\varepsilon y) = \varepsilon \cdot d_\theta(x,y) \qquad \text{for any } x,y \in \mathbf{H}_n \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that $\mathbf{H}_n$ is a non-compact CR manifold that satisfies both properties (i) and (ii) in Cor. 2.2. There is also another natural metric on the Heisenberg group:

$$d(x,y) = \left|x^{-1}y\right|, \qquad \text{where } x,y \in \mathbf{H}_n,$$

and $|\cdot|$ is the Heisenberg norm. By definition this metric is left-invariant, and since the Heisenberg norm is homogeneous, it also commutes with dilatations. Any metric with the last two properties is determined by its values on $\{0\} \times \partial B(0,1)$. From this we conclude that such a metric has to be equivalent to the Carnot-Caratheodory metric $d_\theta(\cdot,\cdot)$.

2.3. Sub-Laplacian on CR manifolds

Let M be a pseudo-convex CR manifold equipped with a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ whose Levi form is positive definite; by π_H we denote the projection $TM \to H$ along the 1-dimensional kernel of $d\theta$. The *sub-Laplacian* $(-\Delta_b)$ is a second order differential operator defined as

$$-\Delta_b u = -\operatorname{div}(\nabla_b u), \qquad \text{where} \quad \nabla_b u = \pi_H \nabla u,$$

and ∇u is regarded as a vector field with respect to the Webster metric; the divergence is understood in the sense of the volume form $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$. Equivalently, it can be defined as the Hormander operator

$$-\Delta_b u = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} X_i^* X_i u,$$

where (X_i) is a local orthonormal frame of the sub-bundle H with respect to the Webster metric, and the (X_i^*)'s are the adjoint operators with respect the natural L_2-scalar product.

Example (continued). The sub-Laplacian on the Heisenberg group $\mathbf{H}_n$ has the form

$$-\Delta_b = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^i} + |z|^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} - \bar{z}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}\right)\right),$$

where $(z^1,\dots,z^n,t)$ are standard coordinates on $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{R}$, see [2].

As is known, the sub-Laplacian is a sub-elliptic operator of order $1/2$. This means that for any smooth compactly supported function u the following inequality holds

$$\|u\|_{1/2}^2 \leqslant C\left(\|(\Delta_b u, u)\| + \|u\|^2\right)$$

for some constant C, where $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1/2}$ stand for the L_2-norm and the Sobolev $(1/2)$-norm respectively, see [2]. On a compact manifold M we view $(-\Delta_b u)$ as an operator defined on smooth functions that satisfy the following version of the *Neumann boundary hypothesis*:

$$\langle \nabla_b u, \vec{n}\rangle = 0 \text{ on } \partial M, \qquad \text{where } \vec{n} \text{ is an outward normal to } \partial M, \tag{2.2}$$

and the brackets denote the scalar product in the sense of the Webster metric. By the CR version of Green's formula

$$\int_M (\Delta_b u) v dVol_\theta + \int_M \langle \nabla_b u, \nabla_b v\rangle dVol_\theta = \int_{\partial M} \langle \nabla_b u, \vec{n}\rangle v dS_\theta,$$

we see that this operator is formally self-adjoint. In particular, its resolvent set is not empty. Using the compact Sobolev embedding together with the sub-ellipticity hypothesis, it is straightforward to conclude that its resolvent is compact and the spectrum is discrete. By the same Green's formula, it coincides with the spectrum of the Dirichlet form $\int |\nabla_b u|^2 dVol_\theta$.

If a manifold M is non-compact, then we assume that the sub-Laplacian is defined on smooth functions that, in addition to the Neumann boundary hypothesis (2.2), are compactly supported. As is known, such an operator is closable in the space of L_2-integrable functions, and its counting function $N_\theta(\lambda)$ is defined as

$$N_\theta(\lambda) = \sup\left\{\dim V : \int |\nabla_b u|^2 dVol_\theta < \lambda \int u^2 dVol_\theta \text{ for any } u \in V\backslash\{0\}\right\},$$

where V is a subspace in the domain of definition. Moreover, the eigenvalues of such an operator are precisely the jump points of the counting function,

$$\lambda_k(\theta) = \inf\{\lambda \geqslant 0 : N_\theta(\lambda) \geqslant k\}.$$

We refer to [7], where the details on a more general setting can be found.

3. General statement

Let M be a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold. In sequel by a pseudo-Hermitian structure on M we mean a pseudo-Hermitian structure with positive definite Levi form. By $\lfloor x \rfloor$ we also denote the floor function of $x \in \mathbf{R}$, the greatest integer that is at most x. The following general statement is proved in Sect. 4.

Mother Theorem. *Let M be a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$, possibly with boundary. Let θ_0 be a background pseudo-Hermitian structure whose volume measure Vol_{θ_0} satisfies the following properties:*

(i) *the* doubling property

$$Vol_{\theta_0}(B(x,2r)) \leqslant C_1 \cdot Vol_{\theta_0}(B(x,r))$$

for Carnot-Caratheodory balls with arbitrary x and $r > 0$, and some $C_1 > 0$;

(ii) *the* growth property

$$Vol_{\theta_0}(B(x,r)) \leqslant C_2 \cdot r^{2(n+1)}$$

for arbitrary Carnot-Caratheodory balls in M and some constant $C_2 > 0$.

Then there exists a constant C, depending on C_1, C_2, and n only, such that for any pseudo-Hermitian structure θ of finite total volume, $Vol_\theta(M) < +\infty$, the counting function $N_\theta(\lambda)$ satisfies the inequality

$$N_\theta(\lambda) \geqslant \lfloor C \cdot Vol_\theta(M)\lambda^{n+1} \rfloor \qquad \text{for any } \lambda \geqslant 0.$$

In particular, the sub-Laplacian eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\theta)$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$\lambda_k(\theta) Vol_\theta(M)^{1/(n+1)} \leqslant C_* \cdot k^{1/(n+1)} \qquad \text{for any } k = 1,2,\ldots,$$

where $C_* = C^{-1/(n+1)}$.

First, if the manifold M is compact, then, by Cor. 2.2, the properties (i) and (ii) hold for an arbitrary pseudo-Hermitian structure. In this case the above estimate for the counting function $N_\theta(\lambda)$ can be improved – no floor function is necessary. Indeed, when M is compact, we always have an extra test-function, the constant, which yields an improved estimate, see Sect. 4. With this improvement, Theorem 1.1 becomes a consequence of the Mother Theorem. Further, the standard pseudo-Hermitian structure on the Heisenberg group $\mathbf{H}_n$ satisfies the hypotheses (i) and (ii), and so does any its subdomain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{H}_n$ with the same constants C_1 and C_2. Since the constant C in the estimate for the counting function depends only on C_1, C_2, and n, we also obtain the statement in Theorem 1.3.

Finally, mention that, following the idea of Grigor'yan and Yau in [7, Sect. 5.3] in the conformal setting, the statement above can be generalised even further in another direction. Let σ be a non-atomic finite Radon measure on M, and $N_\theta(\lambda,\sigma)$ be a counting function corresponding to the sub-Laplacian viewed as an operator in $L_2(M,\sigma)$. Then a straightforward generalisation of the argument in Sect. 4 shows that for any pseudo-Hermitian structure θ of finite total volume, $Vol_\theta(M) < +\infty$, the counting function $N_\theta(\lambda,\sigma)$ satisfies the inequality

$$N_\theta(\lambda,\sigma) \geqslant \lfloor C \cdot \frac{\sigma(M)^{n+1}}{Vol_\theta(M)^n}\lambda^{n+1} \rfloor \qquad \text{for any } \lambda \geqslant 0,$$

where the constant C depends on C_1, C_2, and n only. In particular, if σ coincides with the volume measure Vol_θ, then we obtain the original estimate in the Mother Theorem. As a consequence, we also have the following bounds for the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\theta,\sigma)$:

$$\lambda_k(\theta,\sigma)\frac{\sigma(M)}{Vol_\theta(M)^{n/(n+1)}} \leqslant C_* \cdot k^{1/(n+1)} \qquad \text{for any } k = 1,2,\ldots,$$

where $C_* = C^{-1/(n+1)}$. Such considerations can be useful when one deals with other boundary conditions on M, see [8, Example 1.3] and [1].

4. The proof

4.1. Prerequisities I: metric space decomposition theorem

We start with recalling the general result of Grigor'yan and Yau [6, 7] concerning the decomposition of a metric space (X,d) by annuli. By an annulus A in X we mean a subset of the following form

$$\{x \in X : r \leqslant d(x,a) < R\},$$

where $a \in X$ and $0 \leqslant r < R < \infty$. We also use the notation $2A$ for the annulus

$$\{x \in M : r/2 \leqslant d(x,a) < 2R\}.$$

We say that a given measure μ on X is *non-atomic*, if the mass of every point is zero.

Building on the ideas of Korevaar [9], Grigor'yan and Yau showed that for any non-atomic measure μ, satisfying the doubling property

$$\mu(B(x,2r)) \leqslant C_1 \cdot \mu(B(x,r)) \tag{4.1}$$

for arbitrary $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, one can always find a collection of disjoint annuli $\{2A_i\}$ such that the values $\mu(A_i)$ are bounded below by some positive constant. More precisely, in [6, 7] they prove the following statement.

Decomposition by annuli theorem. *Let (X,d) be a metric space equipped with a finite non-atomic measure μ that satisfies the doubling property. Suppose also that all metric balls in X are precompact. Then for any positive integer k there exists a collection $\{2A_i\}$, where $i = 1,\dots,k$, of disjoint annuli such that*

$$\mu(A_i) \geqslant c\mu(X)/k \qquad \textit{for any } i,$$

where the positive constant c depends only on the doubling constant C_1.

Mention that in [7] this theorem is stated for metric spaces that satisfy the *metric doubling hypothesis:* there exists a constant N such that any metric ball of radius r can be covered by at most N balls of radii $r/2$. For such a space no doubling hypothesis on a measure is necessary. However, by standard covering theorems [10], it is straightforward to see that any metric space that carries a measure with doubling property (4.1) satisfies the metric doubling hypothesis with the constant N that depends only on the doubling constant C_1.

We are going to apply this theorem to a CR manifold M viewed as a metric space with the Carnot-Caratheodory distance. By the hypothesis (i) in the Mother Theorem, this space carries a doubling measure, and hence, satisfies the metric doubling hypothesis. Since small Carnot-Caratheodory balls are precompact, see [13], we conclude that so are arbitrary balls in M, and the decomposition theorem above applies. In particular, we see that such a CR manifold is either compact or its Carnot-Caratheodory diameter is infinite.

The use of annuli in the decomposition theorem is actually important. It allows to estimate the capacities $\mathrm{Cap}(A,2A)$, knowing an estimate for the capacities $\mathrm{Cap}(B,2B)$ of open metric balls B, see Lemma 4.2. For our purposes it is appropriate to use a notion of capacity based on the CR version of the Dirichlet energy, that is the energy $\int |\nabla_b u|^2 dVol_\theta$. We describe it below in more detail.

4.2. Prerequisites II: capacities on CR manifolds

Let M be a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold of dimension $(2n+1)$, and θ be a pseudo-Hermitian structure whose Levi form is positive definite. A real-valued function u on M is called Lipschitz in the sense of the Carnot-Caratheodory metric d_θ, if there exists a constant L such that

$$|u(x)-u(y)| \leqslant L \cdot d_\theta(x,y) \qquad \text{for any } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } M.$$

Recall that due to the results in [5] the Carnot-Caratheodory metric $d_\theta(\cdot,\cdot)$ is smooth in a neighbourhood of the diagonal in $M\times M$. This implies that any Lipschitz function in the above sense is differentiable almost everywhere, and $|\nabla_b u|$ is not greater than $\sqrt{2n}L$. In particular, for a given $x\in M$ the Carnot-Caratheodory metric defines a differentiable almost everywhere function $d_\theta(x,\cdot)$ and

$$|\nabla_b d_\theta(x,\cdot)| \leqslant \sqrt{2n}.$$

Conversely, by the results in [13], any smooth function is locally Lipschitz in the above sense. Now we describe the concept of capacity on CR manifolds.

Recall that the *capacitor* in M is a pair (F,G) of Borel sets $F\subset G$. For a given pseudo-Hermitian structure θ and a real number $1\leqslant p<+\infty$ the *sub-Riemannian p-capacity* of a capacitor (F,G) is defined as

$$\mathrm{Cap}_p(F,G)=\inf\left\{\int_M |\nabla_b u|^p\, dVol_\theta\right\}.$$

Here the infimum is taken over all Lipschitz test-functions on M, that is the functions that are equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of F and whose support is compact and lies in the interior of G.

In sequel we use the following auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold equipped with a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ whose Levi form is positive definite. Suppose that all Carnot-Caratheodory balls in M are precompact. Then the sub-Riemannian p-capacity of Carnot-Caratheodory balls satisfies the following inequality*

$$\mathrm{Cap}_p(B(x,r),B(x,2r)) \leqslant (2n)^{p/2} Vol_\theta(B(x,2r))\cdot r^{-p}$$

for arbitrary $x\in M$ and $r>0$.

Proof. For a given $r>0$ choose ε such that $0<\varepsilon<r$. Consider the function u_ε defined as

$$u_\varepsilon(y)=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{for} \quad d_\theta(x,y)\leqslant r+\varepsilon/2;\\ \dfrac{2r-\varepsilon/2-d_\theta(x,y)}{r-\varepsilon} & \text{for} \quad r+\varepsilon/2<d_\theta(x,y)<2r-\varepsilon/2;\\ 0 & \text{for} \quad d_\theta(x,y)\geqslant 2r-\varepsilon/2.\end{cases}$$

Clearly, it is Lipschitz and can be used as a test-function for the p-capacity. Besides, it is straightforward to see that

$$|\nabla_b u_\varepsilon| \leqslant \sqrt{2n}(r-\varepsilon)^{-1},$$

and thus, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Cap}_p(B(x,r),B(x,2r)) \leqslant (2n)^{p/2} Vol_\theta(B(x,2r))\cdot (r-\varepsilon)^{-p}.$$

Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon\to 0+$, we obtain the inequality in the statement of the lemma. ☐

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold equipped with a pseudo-Hermitian structure θ whose Levi form is positive definite. Then for four nested Borel sets $F \subset G \subset F' \subset G'$ the following inequality*

$$\mathrm{Cap}_p(F'\backslash G, G'\backslash F)^{1/p} \leqslant \mathrm{Cap}_p(F,G)^{1/p} + \mathrm{Cap}_p(F',G')^{1/p}$$

holds, where $1 \leqslant p < +\infty$.

Proof. If u and v are test-functions for the capacitors (F,G) and (F',G') respectively, then the function $(v-u)$ is a test-function for the capacitor $(F'\backslash G, G'\backslash F)$. Now by the Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int |\nabla_b(v-u)|^p\, dVol_\theta\right)^{1/p} &\leqslant \left(\int (|\nabla_b u| + |\nabla_b v|)^p dVol_\theta\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant \left(\int |\nabla_b u|^p\, dVol_\theta\right)^{1/p} + \left(\int |\nabla_b v|^p\, dVol_\theta\right)^{1/p}. \qquad (4.2)\end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimums over test-functions, we arrive at the inequality in the lemma. ☐

4.3. Proof of the Mother Theorem

For a given pseudo-Hermitian structure θ with positive definite Levi form consider the $(2n+2)$-capacity

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{2n+2}(F,G) = \inf\left\{\int_M |\nabla_b u|^{2n+2}\, dVol_\theta\right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over smooth (or Lipschitz) test-functions. Recall that any pseudo-Hermitian structure $\hat{\theta}$ with positive definite Levi form has the form $\hat{\theta} = \kappa \cdot \theta$, where κ is a positive smooth function. Using the relationship $L_{\hat{\theta}} = \kappa \cdot L_\theta$ for the Levi forms together with the formula for the volume form $dVol_\theta$, we conclude that this capacity is invariant with respect to the change of a pseudo-Hermitian structure. In particular, we have

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{2n+2}(F,G) = \inf\left\{\int_M |\nabla_b u|^{2n+2}\, dVol_{\theta_0}\right\},$$

where θ_0 is a background pseudo-Hermitian structure that satisfies the hypotheses (i) and (ii) in the theorem. Combining Lemma 4.1 with the hypothesis (ii), we get

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{2n+2}(B, 2B) \leqslant (2n)^{n+1} C_2,$$

for any Carnot-Caratheodory ball B, where $2B$ denotes the ball centered at the same point whose radius is twice greater. By Lemma 4.2, we also obtain the bound

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{2n+2}(A, 2A) \leqslant c(n, C_2)$$

for capacitors formed by annuli. Using the Hölder inequality we further get

$$\mathrm{Cap}_2(A, 2A) \leqslant c'(n, C_2) Vol_\theta(2A)^{n/(n+1)}. \qquad (4.3)$$

Now let $c(C_1)$ be the constant from the annuli decomposition theorem, and set

$$C = (2c'(n, C_2)/c(C_1))^{-(n+1)}.$$

For a given $\lambda > 0$ we denote by k the integer $\lfloor C \cdot Vol_\theta(M)\lambda^{n+1} \rfloor$. By the annuli decomposition theorem there exists a collection $\{A_i\}$ of $2k$ Carnot-Caratheodori annuli such that

$$Vol_\theta(A_i) \geqslant c(C_1)Vol_\theta(M)/k \qquad \text{for every } i = 1, \ldots, 2k, \tag{4.4}$$

and the annuli $\{2A_i\}$ are disjoint. The latter implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} Vol_\theta(2A_i) \leqslant Vol_\theta(M),$$

and hence, there exists at least k sets $2A_i$ such that

$$Vol_\theta(2A_i) \leqslant Vol_\theta(M)/k.$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that these inequalities hold for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Combining this with relationship (4.3), we obtain

$$\mathrm{Cap}_2(A_i, 2A_i) \leqslant c'(n, C_2)\,(Vol_\theta(M)/k)^{n/(n+1)}.$$

for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$. To demonstrate the lower bound for the counting function it is sufficient to construct k linearly independent functions $\{u_i\}$ such that

$$\int |\nabla_b u_i|^2\, dVol_\theta < \lambda \cdot \int u_i^2 dVol_\theta.$$

As such functions one can take nearly optimal test-functions for the capacitors $(A_i, 2A_i)$, where $i = 1, \ldots, k$. In more detail, for a sufficiently small ε we can choose test-functions u_i such that

$$\int |\nabla_b u_i|^2\, dVol_\theta < \mathrm{Cap}_2(A_i, 2A_i) + \varepsilon \leqslant 2c'(n, C_2)\,(Vol_\theta(M)/k)^{n/(n+1)}.$$

Now using inequality (4.4), we get

$$\left(\int |\nabla_b u_i|^2\, dVol_\theta\right) \Big/ \left(\int u_i^2 dVol_\theta\right) < \frac{2c'(n, C_2)}{c(C_1)} (k/Vol_\theta(M))^{1/(n+1)} \leqslant \lambda,$$

where the last inequality follows by the choice of the quantities C and k. This proves the lower bound for the counting function. The upper bounds for the eigenvalues can be now obtained in a fashion similar to the one in the proof of Cor. 1.2.

References

[1] Colbois, B., El Soufi, A., Girouard, A. *Isoperimetric control of the Steklov spectrum.* J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 1384–1399.

[2] Dragomir, S., Tomassini, G. *Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds.* Progress in Mathematics, 246. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006. xvi+487

[3] Fefferman, C., Phong, D. H. *On the asymptotic eigenvalue distribution of a pseudo-differential operator.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **77** (1980), 5622–5625.

[4] Fefferman, C., Phong, D. H. *Subelliptic eigenvalue problems.* Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Vol. II, 590–606, Wadsworth, 1983.

[5] Hamenstädt, U. *Some regularity theorems for Carnot-Caratheodory metrics.* J. Diff. Geom., **32** (1990), 819–850.

[6] Grigor'yan, A., Yau, S.-T. *Decomposition of a metric space by capacitors.* "Differential equations: La Pietra 1996", Ed. Giaquinta et. al., Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **65**, 1999, 39–75.

[7] Grigor'yan, A., Netrusov, Y., Yau S.-T. *Eigenvalues of elliptic operators and geometric applications.* Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. IX, 147–217. Surv. Diff. Geom., IX, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2004.

[8] Kokarev, G. *Variational aspects of Laplace eigenvalues on Riemannian surfaces.* arXiv:1103.2448.

[9] Korevaar, N. *Upper bounds for eigenvalues of conformal metrics* J. Diff. Geom., **37** (1993), 73–93.

[10] Mattila, P. *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces. Fractals and rectifiability.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **44.** Cambridge University Press, 1995. xii+343 pp.

[11] Menikoff, A., Sjöstrand, J. *On the eigenvalues of a class of hypoelliptic operators.* Math. Ann., **235** (1978), 55–58.

[12] Mitchell, J. *On Carnot-Carathéodory metrics.* J. Diff. Geom. **21** (1985), 35–45.

[13] Nagel, M., Stein, E. M., Wainger, S. *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I: basic properties.* Acta Math. **155** (1985), 103–147.

[14] Sjöstrand, J. *Eigenvalues of hypoelliptic operators and related methods.* Proc. ICM (Helsinki, 1978), 797–801, Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki, 1980.